### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Anthony Di Bitonto et al.

Application No.: 10/765,391 Confirmation No.: 2535

Filed: January 28, 2004 Art Unit: 2632

For: NAIL CLIPPER Examiner: P. Nguyen

# RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

### **INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

In response to the Office Action of October 31, 2007, please reconsider the above-identified U.S. patent application in light of the following amendment:

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this paper.

#### **FEE CALCULATION**

Any additional fee required has been calculated as follows:

\_\_\_\_ If checked, Small Entity status is claimed

	No. Claims		Highest No.		Extra		Rate	Additional
	After		Previously		Present			Fee
	Amendment		Paid For					
Total	9	MINUS	20**	=	0	Χ		\$
Indep.	2	MINUS	3**	=	0	X		\$
First presentation of multiple dependent claim(s) X							\$	
TOTAL								\$ -0-

<sup>\*</sup> not less than 20

In the event the actual fee is greater than the payment submitted or is inadvertently not enclosed or if any additional fee during the prosecution of this application is not paid, the Patent Office is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2215.

## CONTINGENT EXTENSION REQUEST

If this communication is filed after the shortened statutory time period had elapsed and no separate Petition is enclosed, the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks is petitioned, under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), to extend the time for filing a response to the outstanding Office Action by the number of months which will avoid abandonment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.135. The fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 should be charged to our Deposit Account No. 50-2215.

<sup>\*\*</sup> not less than 3